

Measurement of technological activities

Stefano Breschi
(Università L. Bocconi)

April-May 2003

Most common indicators

Standardised methodologies

- R&D expenditures
- Patent statistics
- Technological balance of payments
- Bibliometric indicators
- High-tech trade
- Human capital
- Innovation surveys (e.g. CIS)

Non standardised methodologies

- Innovation counts
- Literature-based
- Technology surveys

Development of new technology indicators - I

➤ Theoretical developments:

from linear model

to chain-linked model

- | | |
|---|---|
| ↓ | ↓ |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• R&D as <i>input</i>• Patents, TBP and high-tech trade as <i>output</i>• Firm = main unit of analysis | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• More 'learning' activities than just R&D and patents• Complexity and feedbacks• Several actors involved in innovative process |

➤ Changes in technology policy

- | | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------|---|
| <i>From</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• R&D subsidies• Patent law• Support to high-tech industries | <i>To</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Technology transfer (university↔industry; low-tech industries)• R&D co-operation• Standards |
|-------------|--|-----------|---|

Knowledge flows and indicators

Activities/Flows/Knowledge characteristics outside the realm of traditional indicators:

- *Absorption*: absorptive R&D, reverse engineering, co-operative R&D, licences...
 - Non scientific inputs and outputs (design, "pure" technical skills...)
 - *Tacit* knowledge (non codified)
 - Wide range of appropriability means
 - Networks of innovators
- ⇒ require NEW indicators

Questions to be answered by innovation indicators

	Creation	Dissemination	Absorption
Who	Source (large-small firms, universities..)	Vehicles (people, products, patents..)	Users, Competitors
What	Types of knowledge (tacit, codified..)	Secrecy, reverse engineering...	Necessary absorptive capabilities
Where	Location of source (institutional, geographical)	Links (formal, informal); directions of flows	Location of users, competitors
When	length of research (and funding) time;	Patent expiry date; perception lag	Adoption/diffusion lag
Contextual factors	financial conditions, knowledge externalities, research infrastructure, local environment, rules of behavior		

04/05/2003

EITC, a.y. 2002-2003

5

Strengths/weaknesses of selected indicators - I

		Strengths	Weaknesses
<i>Traditional</i>	R&D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Regular data collection ▪ Sectoral comparability ▪ International “ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Excludes design, software, engineering ▪ Underestimates inn. in SMEs and services
	Patents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Regular data collection ▪ Details on tech. fields ▪ International comparab. ▪ Info. on networks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Mismatch patents-innovations ▪ No info. on services ▪ Role of propensity to patent (firm/regime)
	TBP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Regular data collection 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No info. on non-transferred technologies
	Trade of High-Tech products	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Regular data collection ▪ Measure of country performance ▪ International comparab. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No info. on traditional industries ▪ No info. on domestic inn. ▪ Selection bias

04/05/2003

EITC, a.y. 2002-2003

6

Strengths/weaknesses of selected indicators - II

<i>New</i>	Bibliometrics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Measure of scientific inputs ▪ Info. on networks ▪ Details on tech. fields ▪ International comparab. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Mismatch publications-innovations ▪ Role of propensity to publish (firm/regime) ▪ Language barriers
	Innovation Surveys	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Direct measure of innovativeness ▪ Potential inclusion of all innovative activities ▪ Both manufacturing and services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Cross-country + time comparability ▪ Lack of periodicity ▪ Sample selection problems ▪ Subjective answers

	Object approach	Subject approach
Unit of analysis	The innovation	The innovator
Method of collecting info	Selection of items from secondary sources: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ new product catalogues ▪ innovation inventories ▪ patents ... 	Questionnaires/Interviews to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Firms ▪ Universities ▪ Public labs...

04/05/2003 EITC, a.y. 2002-2003 7

References and web resources for “publicly available” data - 1

R&D

OECD: <http://www.oecd.org>

Main Science and Technology Indicators (1991-1997)

Basic Science and Technology Statistics (1981-1995)

(A few documents online with useful data)

Bibliometric

BEST: <http://www.sussex.ac.uk/spru/best/index.html>

ISI: <http://www.isinet.com/>

BIDS: <http://www.sussex.ac.uk/library/picr/bids1.html>

Chemical Abstracts: <http://www.cas.org/>

Patents:

EPO: <http://www.european-patent-office.org/>

USPTO: <http://www.uspto.gov/>

WIPO: <http://www.wipo.org>

CHI: <http://www.chiresearch.com/index.html>

DERWENT: <http://www.derwent.com>

References and web resources for “publicly available” data - 2

Europe:

CORDIS: <http://www.cordis.lu>

(Database on R&D co-operative projects financed by the EC and other information on S&T activities of the EC)

ERSTI (1994, 1997), European Report on Science and Technology Indicators, European Commission DGXII.

(Few chapters on web)

EUROSTAT: <http://europa.eu.int/en/comm/eurostat/serven/part1/1som.htm>

(Basic economic indicator for EU countries)

US:

National Science Foundation: <http://www.nsf.gov/sbe/srs/stats.htm>

(Various reports on S&T in the US and two databases on university research and education and employment in S&E)