

Key Concepts

3-2

3-3

- GDP Growth
 - Total output
 - Output per capita
- Elements of Growth
 - Labor
 - Capital
 - Total Factor Productivity

The Importance of Economic Growth

"No society can surely be flourishing and happy, of which the far greater part of the members are poor and miserable." --Adam Smith

GDP Growth

- An increase over time in the quantity of goods and services produced by an economy
- Rate of growth
 - Real GDP: adjusts for inflation
 - Real GDP per capita: adjusts for size of population





































Importance of Growth

Growing population

- Improving standards of living
 - GDP per capita
 - Life expectancy
 - Poverty reduction





ABLE 3.3 The twentieth c round the wor	Growth in Real (entury saw hug fd.	6DP per Capita, 1 e increases in G	DP per capita
Country	1900 Real GDP per capita	2000 Real GDP per capita	Growth Muttiple (2000 GDP/1900 GDP)
Australia	4,299	25,534	5.9
Austria	2,901	23,681	8.2
Belgium	3,652	23,784	6.5
Canada	2,758	26,922	9.8
Denmark	2,902	26,627	9.1
Finland	1,620	23,798	14.7
Italy	1,746	21,794	12.5
Netherlands	3,533	23,664	6.7
New Zeeland	4,320	18,824	4.4
Norway	1,762	27,043	15.3
Sweden	2,561	23,661	9.2
Switzerland	3,531	20,830	5.9
Czechoslovakia	1,729	14,844	8.6
Hungary	1,682	10,443	6.2
U.S.S.R.	1,218	8,012	6.6
Chile	1,949	9,919	5.1
Colombia	973	5,380	5.5
Mexico	1,157	8,766	7.6
Peru	817	4,583	5.6
Venezuela	821	6,420	7.8
Bangladesh	581	1,684	2.9
China	652	3,746	5.7
Indonesia	745	3,637	4.9
Pakistan	687	2,006	2.9
Philippines	1,033	3,423	3.3
Talwan	759	17,056	22.5
Thailand	812	6,856	8.4
Egypt	.509	4,184	8.2
Ghana	462	1.349	2.9



TABLE	3.2 Survi	val Rate	s in Eng	jland, 1	662, ar	d the U	nited S	tates, 1	993
Economi in life exp	c growth oc vectancy.	curred i	at the s	iame ti	me as	drama	ttic im	proves	nent
Age	0	ó	16	26	36	46	56	66	76
England 1	662 100	64	40	25	16	10	6	3	1
U.S. 1993	100	99	99	98	97	95	92	84	70
England 1 U.S. 1993 Source: P 1995). ©	662 100 100 Peter Bernst 1995 John V	64 99 ein, Ag Viley, R	40 99 ainst ti ceprint	25 98 he Goi ed by	16 97 ds (Ne permi	10 95 w Yor ssion o	6 92 k: Johr of Johr	3 84 n Wiley n Wiley	7 y, y an

			mo	neta	ary	pov	erty	7		
14/2	orld D	overt	. 1	World	nonu	lation	with		han 1	00
	IIS¢	(DDD)	ner d	av (old	popu Lestin	ates)	with	iess t		.00
	039	(FFF)	per u	ay (oic	i estili	lates				
	1820	1929	1950	1960	1970	1980	1987*	1992	1998*	200
%	83,9	56,3	54,8	44	35,6	31,5	28,3	23,7	23,4	17
million	886,8	1149,7	1175,7	1230,7	1342,6	1431,2	1183,2	1176,0	1175,1	93
Wo	orld P US\$	overty (PPP)	1b: per d	World ay (ne	popu w esti	lation	with)	less t	han 1	.25
						1981	1987	1993	1999	20
							410	20.0	227	25
%						52,2	41,0	30,9	33,1	



no	n-mon	etary]	povert	у
Human Developmer	nt Index for g	eographic a	ireas (weigh	ited avera
	1870	1913	1950	1995
Australasia	0.539	0.784	0.856	0.933
North America	0.462	0.729	0.864	0.945
Western Europe	0.374	0.606	0.789	0.933
Eastern Europe		0.278	0.634	0.786
Latin America		0.236	0.442	0.802
Eastern Asia			0.306	0.746
China			0.159	0.650
Sourth Asia		0.055	0.166	0.449
Africa			0.181	0.435

















<u>ig</u> .				
he				

		1999 GDP per capita		el	Actual growth		
		(US = \$30600)	1% growth	3% growth	6% growth	9% growth	rate (1990-99)
Ger	many	\$25350	20 years	7 years	4 years	3 years	1.5%
U	к	\$22640	32 years	11 years	6 years	4 years	2.1%
Bi	razil	\$4420	196 years	66 years	34 years	23 years	1.7%
C	hina	\$780	370 years	145 years	64 years	44 years	9.8%
Eth	iopia	\$100	577 years	194 years	99 years	67 years	2.2%













B G	DP pe	er capit	a decc	omposi	tion
GDP per ca unemploym	pita varies acro ent, and popula	ss countries due to tion structure.	differences in p	woductivity, hour	s worked,
	GDP per Capita (SPPP)	Hourly Productivity (SPPP)	Average Annual Hours Worked	Employment Rate	Participation Rate
.2.U	33869	38.28	1821	0.952	0.51
Japan	25480	27.96	1821	0.949	0.53
Karea	15226	13.66	2447	0.961	0.47
Denmark	28360	37.28	1482	0.957	0.54
France	24230	39.27	1532	0.915	0.44
Germany	25427	36.67	1467	0.920	0.51
Italy	25055	38.29	1606	0.904	0.45
Netherlands	27337	40.08	1346	0.976	0.52
Norway	30691	43.86	1364	0.964	0.53
Sweden	25580	32.65	1603	0.950	0.51
U.K.	24819	30.92	1711	0.949	0.49
Source: Aut	hors' Calculati	ons, OECD and B	LS, www.bls.gov	/fl_s/flsgdp/pdf	



Role of Inputs

- More inputs means more output
- Diminishing returns
 - 1 worker = \$10 in output
 2 workers = \$18 in output
 3 workers = \$24 in output
 - - \$6 in output



























3-37
Output Growth
Assuming hours worked per capita constant we have:

$$\%\Delta$$
 GDP per capita = $\%\Delta$ Labor Productivity
And:
 $\%\Delta$ Labor Productivity = $\%\Delta$ TFP + $a \times \%\Delta \left(\frac{Capital}{Labor Hour}\right)$





Economic growth: case study 1

Case study 1:

3-40

2-1-

The relative slow rate of growth of the European economy if compared to that of the US especially after the second half of the '90s.

Economic growth

After the WW II Europe converged to the US both in terms of **GDP per capita** and in terms of **labour productivity** (= GDP per hour worked).

- This catching-up pattern experienced two major breaks in the last 30 years:
- Break 1: GDP per capita convergence ended after 1975
- Break 2: labour productivity convergence was reversed after 1995





Economic growth

3-4:

There are two different interpretations of this:

- a) The glass is half empty (Sapir Report)
- b) The glass is half full (Blanchard)

Economic growth

- Half empty

UE experienced:

strong convergence in GDP per capita for 2 decades and a half weak convergence in the '70s

divergence after the first half of the '90s

EU GDP in 1970 and in 2000 is approximatively the 70% of the US one

Economic growth

Half full

- This is true, but it is valid only for <u>output per</u> <u>capita.</u>
- The picture is much less negative when we consider **<u>output per hour worked</u>**: EU is approx 90% of the US one.
- The difference is due to the fact that European employees work less hours during the year.

Economic growth

year wrt to US citizens.

 Δ %(GDP/Pop) =

2_1

= Δ %(GDP/Hours) + Δ %(Hours/Pop)

GDP per capita growth = Hourly labour productivity growth + Hour worked per capita growth The difference is due to the fact the European employee work a smaller number of hours per

Economic growth

Half full (continues)

for example, between 1970 and 2000 the number of hours worked per person decreased by 23% in France and increased by 26% in the US

The Europeans have "decided" to increase leisure rather than income...

But this is not the only explanation available





Economic growth

 <u>Blanchard</u>'s explanation focus on the second term on the right (however, it's decline explains only one third of the decline hours per capita)

Other explanations:

3-50

- Prescut (2004): all decline in hours per capita was caused by higher labour taxes in Europe
- Ljungqvist-Sargent (2006): European welfare system increases unemployment and reduces labour force partecipation
- <u>Alesina, Glaeser, Sacerdote</u> (2006): decline in hours is mainly due to the political pressure by trade unions and left-wing parties to reduce hours and lower the retirement age

Economic growth

- But in the last 10 years European performance in terms of hourly labour productivity has not been good
-probably because of the slower diffusion of information technologies

















Latope		512		
		Jiu		
	Total		Of Which	
	Output:	Capital	Labor	TFP
Golden Age 1950-73				
France	5.0%	1.6%	0.3%	3.1%
UK	3.0%	1.6%	0.2%	1.2%
W. Germany	6.0%	2.2%	0.5%	3.3%
Asian Miracle 1960-94				
China	6.8%	2.3%	1.9%	2.6%
Hona Kona	7.3%	2.8%	2.1%	2.4%
Indonesia	5.6%	2.9%	1.9%	0.8%
Korea	8.3%	4.3%	2.5%	1.5%
Thailand	7.5%	3.7%	2.0%	1.8%
Singapore	8.5%	4 4%	2.2%	1 5%

- Asian countries have relied on capital



Growth Accounting

Europe relied on capital and TFP

Japan

- Capital growth important through out
- Labor, TFP important '50 '73
- US US
 - TFP important until '73
 - Labor important after '73
- UK and Germany rely less on labor









