Globalization: some stylized facts (not exaustive!)

R. Helg


LIUC 2015

What Is Globalization?


•The world is moving away from self-contained national economies toward an interdependent, integrated global economic system

• A convenient definition of Globalization for this course: it is the process of increasing international economic integration of markets.


• Note that from our definition I have left out other dimensions: cultural, political, military etc. They are relevant, but the focus in this course will be mainly on the economic one.




























| 010 | balizat | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Table 1 Globalization waves in the 19 th and 20 th (Percentage change unless indicated otherwise) World | century 1850-1913 | 1950-2007 | 1950-73 | 1974-2007 |
| | 1650-1915 | 1950-2007 | 1930-73 | 1974-2007 |
| | - | | | |
| Population growth | 0.8 a | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| GDP growth (real) | 2.1 ^a | 3.8 | 5.1 | 2.9 |
| GDP growth (real) Per capita | 2.1 ^a 1.3 ^a | 3.8 | 5.1 3.1 | 2.9 |
| GDP growth (real) Per capita Trade growth (real) | 2.1 ^a | 3.8 | 5.1 | 2.9 |
| GDP growth (real) Per capita Trade growth (real) Migration (net) Million | 2.1 a 1.3 a 3.8 | 3.8 2.0 6.2 | 5.1 3.1 8.2 | 2.9 1.2 5.0 |
| GDP growth (real) Per capita Trade growth (real) Migration (net) Million US, Canada, Australia, NZ (cumulative) | 2.1 a 1.3 a 3.8 17.9 a | 3.8 2.0 6.2 50.1 | 5.1 3.1 8.2 12.7 | 2.9 1.2 5.0 37.4 |
| GDP growth (real) Per capita Trade growth (real) Migration (net) Million US, Canada, Australia, NZ (cumulative) US, Canada, Australia, NZ (annual) | 2.1 a 1.3 a 3.8 17.9 a 0.42 a | 3.8 2.0 6.2 50.1 0.90 | 5.1 3.1 8.2 12.7 0.55 | 2.9 1.2 5.0 37.4 1.17 |
| GDP growth (real) Per capita Trade growth (real) Migration (net) Million US, Canada, Australia, NZ (cumulative) | 2.1 a 1.3 a 3.8 17.9 a | 3.8 2.0 6.2 50.1 | 5.1 3.1 8.2 12.7 | 2.9 1.2 5.0 37.4 |




























Globalization 4: a new phase?


- A group of economist (see Gene Grossman and Rossi-Hansberg, 2006 and the presentation by Richard Baldwin, 2006)) have introduced the idea that with outsourcing globalization has entered a <u>new phase</u>.
- The idea is that in the first phase globalization has been characterized by a <u>first unbundling</u>: end of the necessity of making goods close to the point of consumption. In this first phase we had **trade in goods**.
- Recently, started a <u>second unbundling</u>: the end of the need to perform most production stages near each other. In this second phase we have **trade in tasks**.























Globalization 6

Historical digression:

Fast economic growth is a feature of the last 200 years. It is a product of the capitalistic production system.

Globalization is an intrinsic feature of the capitalistic production system (on this, see page 27 from Maddison, 2001)





Drivers of Globalization

Two major factors underlie the trend toward greater globalization:

• the **decline in barriers** to the free flow of goods, services, and capital (but not to free flow of people!) that has occurred since the end of World War II. This process has been facilitated by the emergence of **global institutions** (GATT/WTO, IMF etc.)

• technological change


Declining Trade and Investment Barriers

Some stylized facts:

- After WWII substantial reduction in trade barriers (tariffs and non-tariffs barriers)
- On average less developed countries are more protectionist than industrialized countries (IC)
- South-South trade is characterized on average by higher trade barriers than South-North trade
- IC have peaks of protectionism in some sectors (ie agriculture and textile and clothing) in which many emerging economies have a comparative advantage

| 18 | 20 and /orld | 1980. Af Povert | terith y 1a | as redu : WO | ^{ced} 'Id pop | in has co oulatior imates | n with | | | | | Globalization and Extreme |
|-----|-----------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| | 1820 | 1929 | 1950 | 196 |) 1970 | 1980 | 1987* | 1992 | 199 | 98* | 2005° | |
| % | 83,9 | 56,3 | 54,8 | 44 | 35,6 | 31,5 | 28,3 | 23,7 | 23 | 3,4 | 17,2 | Poverty |
| mil | 886,8 | 1149,7 | 1175,7 | 1230 | 7 1342,6 | 6 1431,2 | 1183,2 | 1176,0 | 117 | 5,1 | 931,3 | , |
| W | | | | | | oulatior imates | | | | | | |
| | | | per 31 19 | day (987 1 | old est | | - 200 05 20 | 5 PPP | | | 11 | |
| 9 | US\$ | (PPP) 198 52 | per 31 19 7 43 3,3 179 | day (987 1 3,0 4 98,6 1 | 993 19 11,6 3 938,9 17 | imates 999 20 4,2 24 51,2 137 | - 200 05 20 ,8 21 1,5 125 | 5 PPP 08 20 1,8 1 55,4 11 | pric | 20 ⁻ 17 | 11 ,0 | |
| 9 | US\$ | (PPP) 198 52, 1950 nen e Rava d Pove | per 1 19 7 4: 3,3 179 1000 (20 rty 10 | day (987 1 3,0 4 98,6 1 98,6 1 908), WB | 993 19 993 19 11,6 3 938,9 17 (2010), W | imates 999 20 4,2 24 | - 200 05 20 ,8 2 1,5 125 wB (2014 | 5 PPP 08 20 1,8 1 55,4 11) less th | pric 010 9,1 27,8 | 20 ⁷ 17 101 | 11 ,0 0,4 | |
| 9 | US\$ | (PPP) 198 52, 1950 nen e Rava d Pove | rty 10 per 0 7 43 3,3 179 1000 (20 7 9 1000 (20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | day (1 3,0 4 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,7 1 1 98,7 1 1 98,7 1 1 98,7 1 1 98,7 1 98,7 1 98,7 1 98,7 1 98,7 1 98,7 1 98,7 1 98,7 1 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,7 1 98,6 11 98,7 1 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,7 1 98,6 11 98,7 1 98,7 1 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,7 1 98,7 1 99,7 1 90,8 1 90,9 1 90,9 1 1 90,9 1 1 90,9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | old esti 993 19 11,6 3 338,9 17 (2010), w rld pop new est | imates 999 20 4,2 24 51,2 137 / ^B (2013), pulation timates | - 200 05 20 ,8 2' 1,5 125 WB (2014 w with - 201 | 5 PPP 08 20 1,8 1 55,4 11) less th | pric 010 9,1 27,8 | 20 ⁷ 17 101 | 11 ,0 0,4 | |
| 9 | US\$ | (PPP) 198 52, 1954 hen e Rava d Pove (PPP) | rty 10 per 0 1 19 7 4: 3,3 179 1000 (20 1000 (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (| day (1 3,0 4 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,6 11 008), WB c: Wo day (r 990 1 7,1 2 | old esti 993 11 11,6 3 338,9 17 (2010), w rld pop new est 999 22 29,0 1 | imates 999 20 4,2 24 51,2 137 /B (2013), culation timates 011 20 4,2 12 | - 200 05 20 ,8 2' 1,5 12: WB (2014 1 with - 201 12 20 2,8 9 | 5 PPP 08 20 1,8 1 55,4 11) less tl 1 PPP 15* 1,6 | pric 010 9,1 27,8 | 20 ⁷ 17 101 | 11 ,0 0,4 | |
| 9 | US\$ | \$ (PPP) 198 52 1950 | rty 10 per 0 1 19 7 4: 3,3 179 1000 (20 1000 (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (| day (1 3,0 4 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,6 11 98,6 11 99,0 1 7,1 2 58,5 1 | old esti 993 11 11,6 3 338,9 17 (2010), w rld pop new est 999 22 29,0 1 | imates 999 20 4,2 24 51,2 137 /B (2013), culation timates 011 20 4,2 12 | - 200 05 20 ,8 2' 1,5 12: WB (2014 1 with - 201 12 20 2,8 9 | 5 PPP 08 20 1,8 1 55,4 11) less tl 1 PPP 15* | pric 010 9,1 27,8 | 20 ⁷ 17 101 | 11 ,0 0,4 | |







Non-Monetary Poverty Continuous reduction in all regions World Poverty 2: Human Development Index


World Poverty 2: Human Development Index for geographic areas (weighted average)

| | 1870 | 1913 | 1950 | 1995 |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Australasia | 0.539 | 0.784 | 0.856 | 0.933 |
| North America | 0.462 | 0.729 | 0.864 | 0.945 |
| Western Europe | 0.374 | 0.606 | 0.789 | 0.933 |
| Eastern Europe | | 0.278 | 0.634 | 0.786 |
| Latin America | | 0.236 | 0.442 | 0.802 |
| Eastern Asia | | | 0.306 | 0.746 |
| China | | | 0.159 | 0.650 |
| Sourth Asia | | 0.055 | 0.166 | 0.449 |
| Africa | | | 0.181 | 0.435 |




| World Income Inequality Three different approaches to measuring inequality in the world | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | <u>Concept 1:</u> unweighted inter-national inequality | <u>Concept 2:</u> weighted inter- national inequality | <u>Concept 3:</u> "true" world inequality | | | |
| Main source of | National | National | Household | | | |
| data | accounts | accounts | surveys | | | |
| Unit of observation | Country | Country (weighted by its population) | Individual | | | |
| Welfare concept | GDP or GNP per capita | GDP or GNP per capita | Mean per capita disposable income or expenditures | | | |
| National currency conversion | Market exchange rate or PPP exchange rate (but different PPP concepts used) | | | | | |
| Within-country distribution (inequality) | Ignored | Ignored | Included | | | |
| Results: - 1820-1980 - 1980-2000 | Increase | Increase Reduction | Increase Reduction | | | |









In the last 20 years world income inequality has inverted its trend and started reducing. This evolution is mainly due to the fast convergence in per capita income between China (from 1980) and India (from 1990), on one side, and the developed countries, on the other. Note also the increase in the role played by within country inequality.

The dynamics of globalization and health

See what the $\underline{\mathsf{BBC}}$ produces

and for more data visit the OECD Development Centre:

Perspective on Global Development 2010: Shifting Wealth