### DECISION PROCESS

- ORGANIZATION ANALYSIS
- TECHNOLOGY CLASSIFICATION

**Decision Process** 

Contents

- Decision process
  - Programmed and Non Programmed decisions
  - «Consensus»
  - Carnegie Model
  - Incremental Decision Model
- Organizational analysis
  - Definition of organization
  - Organizational analysis' pattern
  - Mechanistic and organic organizations
  - Complexity and organizational forms
  - Technologies' classifications
  - Link between technologies' types and organizational forms.
- Risk analysis
  - Definition of risks
  - Risks' «springs»
  - Integrity of the managerial system
  - «six W» methodology

**Decision Process** 

«What's the output of management activity?» «it's to take a decision. Indeed without any decision activities wouldn't have any meaning».

«Is it easy to take a decision?» «So and so! Look at next pages».

**Decision Process** 

Let's start from what taking a decision means in an organizational context.

### Organizational decision making is formally identified as the process of identifying and solving problems.

[R.L. Daft – Organization Theory & Design]

so, decision making is a process. Now, coming back to the question "Is it easy to take a decision?« when it ie eas?

Of course when you know all imputs and you're confident about the outputs. But, are all inputs and output fully defined on managerial processes? Very often they aren't, so one should distinguish between:

- **Programmed decisions** → ... which are repetitive and well defined, and procedures exist for resolving the problems. They're well strctured because criteria of peformance are normally clear, good informatin are available about current performance, allternative are easily specified etc.
- Nonprogrammed decisions → ... are novel and poorly defined, and no procedures exist for these problems. They are used when an organizatin has not seen a problem before and may not know how to respond. [R.L. Daft Organization Theory & Design]

**Decision Process** 

Programmed Decisions follows a process like the below one



The whole process includes three phases, that's intelligence + desing + choice, whose (rough) bounderies are showed by the drawing.

**Decision Process** 

That's to say: full rationality. (unbounded rationality) is a powerfull tool such to eliminate any organizational constraint. Actually 2+2=4 is good for evetyone!

«An what about not **NonProgrammed Decisions**?»

«Of course, just because they're not programmable any sound process can be suggested. Indeed **the Bounded Rationality** (limited information, time, resources etc.) **must match the Organizational Constraints** (share perspective, corporate structure and culture etc.) **and at the same time the Personal Constraints** (that's the expectations of whom is in charge for decision).

In other words, the key word is to find

### consensus

that's a research of a solution which is acceptable by the whole involved parts (at least the majority or the most influencing of them).

be the most influencing parts?

#### **Decision Process**

- Anyway, taking into account that the phases mentioned for programmed decisions a priori are still applicable, Consensus must be related both to problem decision (that's to the intelligence phase) and to solution knowledge (that's to design and choice phases).
- The drawing gives a description of the whole. Of course when both the problem consensus and the solution knowledge are certain (ref to box 1) we are in a programmed decision's case. In the other cases:
  - looking at the individual behaviour, things must be bargained (ref. to box 2) or showed (ref to box 3) or guessed (ref. to box 4),
  - while the organizational behaviour is showed by Carnegie and Incremental Models.



[R.L. Daft – Organization Theory & Design]

### **Decision Process**



[R.L. Daft - Organization Theory & Design]

Carnegie model underlines management status both in uncertainty box ("managers have different constraints") and in conflict box («managers have diverse goals, opinions etc. "). To note that «a solution is created if needed» and «adopt the alternative that is acceptable», which means that technical best solutions usually are not implemented. Is it wrong?

**Decision Process** 

#### **Incremental Decision Model**

The Incremental Decision Model softens the matters of uncertainty and conflict sketched by Carnegie Model. Indeed the Incremental Decision Model gets back to the same phases of the programmed decisions and includes bargaining (that's the consensus achievement) in the selection phase.



[R.L. Daft - Organization Theory & Design]

**Decision Process** 

#### 1984

W. Carl Kester raises corporate awareness of real options by suggesting that managers think of investment opportunities as options on the company's future growth.

On the right you can see a summary of decision models related to last decades.

It's to note that most recent one is «instantaneous decisions» that is related to the case of lackness both in problem definition and in solution knowledge. Daniel Isenberg explains that executives often combine rigorous planning with intuition when faced with a high degree of uncertainty.

#### 1989

Howard Dresner introduces the term "business intelligence" to describe a set of methods that support sophisticated analytical decision making aimed at improving business performance.

#### 1992

Max Bazerman and Margaret Neale connect behavioral decision research to negotiations in Negotiating Rationally.

#### 1995

Anthony Greenwald develops the Implicit Association Test, meant to reveal unconscious attitudes or beliefs that can influence judgment.

#### 1996

Web users start making buying decisions based on the buying decisions of people like themselves.

#### 2005

In Blink, Malcolm Gladwell explores the notion that our instantaneous decisions are sometimes better than those based on lengthy, rational analysis.

[abstract from L. Buchanan, A. O'Connell - "A brief history of decions making" – Harvard Business Review]

### «What does it lack now?»

«In the decision processes we've just seen that decisions must match the organization's expectations, modifications of the organization have been mentioned several times talking on innovation. So **it lacks «how to get familiar with an organization»** ... that's how to analyze it; next pages are just about organizations' analysis and on how it can suit a technology's characteristics.



**Organizational Analysis** 

Organizations are social entities that are goal-directed, designed as deliberatly structured and coordinated activity systems, and are linked to the external environment.

[R.L. Daft - Organization Theory & Design]

Sometimes, the word «context» is used instead than organization. Practically it's the same, anyway context can be defined as «the whole of a specific environment's characteristics»

**Organizational Analysis** 

Organizations are social entities that are goal-directed, designed and deliberatly structured and coordinated activity systems, and are linked to the external environment.

«So, taking the above definition what would you do in order to investigate on an organization?»

#### «I do guess that you'd deploy the definition's contents, then:

- being the organization a «social entity» you'd look at employees characteristics and at their relationships.
- «goal-directed», that means that there would be a strategy, which would work like a lighthouse for goals.
- «... coordinate activity system», about which it's quite natural to think at the technologies (manufactiriing processes, IT Systems etc.) used by the company.
- on relations with external environment, one should analyze customers, suppliers, stakeholders in general etc.

By the way, about relationshps inside the company: do you remember, in No Programmed Decisions, how much important they are in order ro get consensus?

**Organizational Analysis** 

The following model sums up what in the previous page and is a good tool

for an organization's analysis (see next page for legenda).



[abstract from G.F. Rebora - Manuale di organizzazione aziendale ]

**Organizational Analysis** 

#### > Institutional structure:

- $\checkmark$  ... structure, powers and prerogatives of basic entities and roles.
- $\checkmark$  ... it fixes basic rules which characterize the company's behaviour.
- $\checkmark$  ... different institutional sets up between an master owned and a public company.
- Strategic variables: references and targets coming from a planning scheme related to the optimization of the opportunities and to the control of the threats which arise form the external and internal environments.

#### > Technological variables:

- $\checkmark$  ... key variables affecting the operations and other operating activities. ...
- $\checkmark$  ... attention to the ICT systems.

#### > Individual variables:

- $\checkmark$  ... they characterize the employess: abilities and lacknesses, seniority, scholarity, personal disposition etc.
- ✓ amenti e le motivazioni riguardo al lavoro, le attitudini relazionali, la capacità collaborativa.

#### Social variables

- $\checkmark$  ...tThey're related to the relationships among individuals and to the possible aggregations.
- $\checkmark$  ... it's important to note that such aggregations can intervene between employees and the organization.

[ abstract from: G.F. Rebora – Manuale di organizzazione aziendale ]

**Organizational Analysis** 

- Organization choices: obviosly they take into account what detected by the four upmentioned variables:
  - $\checkmark$  ... Organizational structure: way to share activities and to implement the related ccordination.
  - ✓ Operating mechanisms: suggestions which are usually adopted in order to assure the works of the different organizational entities match emerging expectations. consentire l'adattamento dell'operato di organi e ruoli alle esigenze della situazione.
- the organizational structure and the operating mechanisms give shape to the organization scheme, which lets to know the macro-structure (general organizational chart), the organizational units and the related roles and jobs (microstructure).
- > the **leadership style** stands for the behaviour's model of the top management.

[ abstract from: G.F. Rebora – Manuale di organizzazione aziendale ]

an additional note useful as introduction t next pages, it's to note that, apart from the four showed variables (which, anyway, are an indispensable tools for a thorough analysis) the institutional structure and the related leadership style depicts the temper (the intimate nature) of a company. The distinguishing between **mechanistic an organic organizations** can hekp about,

#### **Organizational Analysis**

Taking what said about both the organizational choices, and on this mainly on operating mechanisms, and the leadership styles, an interesting classification of organizations differentiates **«mechanistic organizations»** by **«organic organizations»**. The difference about is suitably told by the a machine's and evolution's paradigms, that's:

### mechanistic organizations

### organic organizations



they work like a machine, that's a system regulated by its-own mechanisms. In a mechianical organization such mechanisms are the operating mechanisms, that's procedures, instructions etc. As in a machine, the **strenghts** of a mechanistic organization are related to the good quality of the outputs ruled by the mechanisms and the **weaknesses** the poor ability to face news.

The word «organic» refers to the evolutionary theory, that's the ability of an organism to face environmental modifications. So, in a company's context, it means the skill on news' managing.

#### **Organizational Analysis**

Summing up the drawing, one could say that **communicaton** (as «the activity or process to express ideas and feelings or of giving people information») is:

- in mechanistics formal ٠ organizations - which show strict hierarchy (so а communication is topdown), specialized tasks whose carrying out is based on defined rules (procedure, instructions etc.).
- Informal in organic organizations where communication is based on targets's sharing, then on cooperation and on rules which can vary accordingly to the actors' interfacing.



[R.L. Daft - Organization Theory & Design]

**Organizational Analysis** 

«Where does mechanistic and organic organizations' distinguishing come from?»

## «It comes as need of the organizations themselves to afford complexity»

And what does complexity mean?»





The simplicity-complexity dimension is linked to the environmental complexility. It reflects the heterogeneity, that's the number and diversity of external factors that are such to impact on the organization. In a complex context sveral different external variables factors can affect and influence an organization.

**Organizational Analysis** 

structure

mechanistic

decentralized

innovative form

... Previous page legenda.













- base organizational structure (of the entrepreneur one) base organizational /imprenditoriale.The element key is authority (authoritative, paternalistic tipical of «small companies' masters»);
- *mechanistic centralized.* Which are characterized by mechanistic centralize tecnostructures whose role is the issuing of rules (procedures, instructions etc.) such to standardize operating processes.
  - *managerial decentralized*: it's still mechanistic but tecnostructures are delegated to the single organization entities, that's in order to customize rules and optimize outputs (for instance: divisional organization).
  - innovative: it's an organic organization. Tecnostructures are still present, but focus is on reciprocal adaptation's processes and on learning culture.
- *professional*: tecnostructures practically disappear, key professional form factors are professional roles and the spread of selfgovernement's and self-determination's culture.

[ abstract from G.F. Rebora – Manuale di organizzazione aziendale ]

### **Organizational Analysis**



Becker – Development of an Approach for Analyzing Supply Chain Complexity – Hamburg University]

### **Organizational Analysis**

«Ok, but where does complexity come from?»

«It comes fome everything. For instance «globalization» implies wider potential markets with different clients and so different expectations and cultures, so (for instance) the request of an higher flexibility and shorter lead-time and time-to-market; one could face different laws' systems etc.. **Complexity** can come from new technologies devoted both increase to efficiency and effectiveness etc.»



please don't forget that a «new technology» could be not new any more for an organization (which could have been implemented it for long time) and new for another.

Organizational Analysis ... and Technology Classification

So, taking into account that our core is (new) technology management, how could we classifiy specific technologies? The matter of technology classification arises.

There are three main variables which classify a technololgy:

- Analyzability: a dimension of technology in which work can be reduced to mechanical steps and partecipants can follow an objective computational procedure to solve problems.
- Variety: in terms of tasks, the frequency unexpected and novel events that occur in the conversion process.
- Intedipendence: the extent to which departments depend on each other for resources or materials to accomplish their tasks.

[R.L. Daft – Organization Theory & Design]

### So, given the above definitions what type of organizations should suit high or low analizability or high or low variety etc.? ... The answer is easy!

Organizational Analysis ... and Technology Classification

As additional information: the model for classification of technology in the three showed dimensions (analyzability, variety, interdependence) is Perrow's model. Exempt 7.11 Thompsol/'s Cassification of interdependence and Management Insplications

Just to clarify indertependen ce meaning, that's pooled, sequantial and reciprocal types.

| Form all<br>Interdispendence  | Demanda on<br>Horizontal<br>Commentication,<br>Decision Making | Type of<br>Coordination<br>Required   | Priority for<br>Locating Units<br>Class Together |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Pooled<br>(bank)              | Low<br>communication   | Standardization,<br>roles,<br>procedums<br>Divisional structure                       | Low  |
| Sequential<br>(assembly line) | Medium<br>communication  | Plase,<br>schedulus,<br>foodback<br>Tesk forzes                                       | Medium   |
| Reciprocal<br>Osspital)       | High   | Mutual adjustment,<br>relational<br>coordination,<br>teanwork<br>Herizontal structure | High   |

[R.L. Daft – Organization Theory & Design]

... and then, on next two pages, to link analyzability and variety to mechanistic and organic types of organization

Organizational Analysis ... and Technology Classification

high high Routine Engineering analyzability Non-Craft low Based on Perroad(1967)

[www.somanagement.com]

Indeed, the answer is given by the drawing:

- where the routine content (that's repetition of the same activity) is higher the suitable most Task organization İS mechanistic.
- viceversa for non-routine one, that's non-routine requires organic organization.



#### Task Variability

Organizational Analysis ... and Technology Classification

In addition to previous page.



© Cengage Learning 2013

**Risk Analysis** 

### «That's all?»

«More or less, indeed let's consider that treated topics are just notes, so things could (and in application, «must») be deepened. Anyway, do you remember that any organization faces the external context? Now, apart the typical factors showed by Porter model, there are several others related, so a **risk analysis** should be carried out».

«So, how could we approach the matter?»

«Right, as a first approach I would say that three topics should considered:

- first a wide view on risks' triggers. For instance, what would happen if an off-shore catastrophe (like a flood, an earthquake or a war) would interrupt the supply of raw material necessary for your technology?
- then, what risks could come by project management?

**Risk Analysis** 

... However, first of all let's have a definiton of risk and of project as well.

### ≻ risk

... some people like to distinguish between risk and uncertainty. The distinction is usually that risk is taken to have quantifiable attributes, whereas uncertanty does not. ... Using this logic, the actual risk to be carried was quantified as follow:

Risk = Probability of event x Magnitude of loss/gain

### ➢ project

"… an endeavour in which human, material and financial resources are organised in a novel way, to undertake a unique scope of work of given specification, within constraints of cost and time, so as to achieve unitary, beneficial change, through the delivery of quantified and quantitative objectives."

[C. Chapman, S, Ward – Project risk management]

**Risk Analysis** 

risk's triggers.

Exhibit 1 Cascading risks In a very preliminary way: apart from typical business issues, one should infer on several «worldwide» factors that could act as



[H. Lamar, M. Peggler – Risks: seeing around the corner – HBR 2010]

**Risk Analysis** 

... by the way, new technology are recognized as risks triggers, at least because experiences about are limited.

| SOURCE                                    | EXAMPLE  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Client/government/<br>regulatory agencies | Bureaucratic delays, changes in local regulations                        |  |  |  |
| Funding/fiscal                            | Changes in government funding policy,<br>liaison between several funders |  |  |  |
| Definition of project                     | Change in project scope 🛛 🖕  |  |  |  |
| Project organization                      | Authority of project manager,<br>involvement of outside bodies           |  |  |  |
| Design                                    | Adequacy to meet need, realism of desigr<br>programme                    |  |  |  |
| Local conditions                          | Local customs, weather windows   |  |  |  |
| Permanent plant supply                    | Degree of novelty, damage/loss during transportation                     |  |  |  |
| Construction contractors                  | Experience, financial stability  |  |  |  |
| Construction materials                    | Excessive wastage, reliability of quality                                |  |  |  |
| Construction labour                       | Industrial relations, multiracial labour force                           |  |  |  |
| Construction plant                        | Resale value, spares availability  |  |  |  |
| Logistics                                 | Remoteness, access to site   |  |  |  |
| Estimating data                           | Relevance to specific project availability                               |  |  |  |
| Inflation                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Exchange rates                            |  |  |  |  |
| Force majeure                             |  |  |  |  |

*Source:* Hayes *et al.* (1986), p. 12. [J. Raftery – Risk analysis]

**Risk Analysis** 

Anyway, how should the risks' management process be configurated?

Generally speaking it should include three main steps:

- ➢ risk identifcation,
- risk analysis,

➤ risk response.





[J. Raftery – Risk analysis]

That said (and besides the risks range mentioned in the previous page) **about risk identification** one should considered:

the «integrity of the management system»,

the suitability of the project managment

5)

**Risk Analysis** 

As «integrity of the management system» we mean the effectiveness and completeness of the whole of operational and all managerial activties (like audits,, reporting etc.) and tools (like instructions, procedures etc,)





Fig- 1 Technological Risks Management Principles

[D.Duval, J.P. Zundel – technological risks management principles – SPE International Proceedings]

6)

**Risk Analysis** 

With concern to project management, the related risks can be identified by the answers related to each of the following «W» (sixW methodology).

| 1. | who         | who are the parties ultimately involved ?  | (parties)    |
|----|-------------|--|--------------|
| 2. | why         | what do the parties want to achieve ?      | (motives)    |
| 3. | what        | what is it the parties are interested in ? | (design)     |
| 4. | whichway    | how is it to be done ?                     | (activities) |
| 5. | wherewithal | what resources are required ?              | (resources)  |
| 6. | when        | when does it have to be done ?             | (timetable)  |
|    |             |  |              |

[C. Chapman, S, Ward – Project risk management]

Anyway, of course two further comments.

In other words: lacknesses iin the answers mean risks arising

0

**Risk Analysis** 

First comment is about the **sequence** and the **iterativity** showed by the graph

The sequence should be self-explaining (of course before the «whichway», that's how to carry out the project, one must define the expectations, that's the «who, «why» and «what».

About the iterativity it's to note that it' a characteristic of any project: indeed as soon as the experience increases (or that more reliabel data are got) some of the previous thoughts could be revised.



#### **Risk Analysis**

Second comment is related to the project life cycle: of course the «w» are related to each life-cycle stage: indeed during the conception and selection the most important w will be whichway, wherewithal etc.



| ıb | le | 2. | 1 | Phases, | stages | and | steps | in | the | project | life | cyc | le |
|----|----|----|---|---------|--------|-----|-------|----|-----|---------|------|-----|----|
|----|----|----|---|---------|--------|-----|-------|----|-----|---------|------|-----|----|

| Phases           | Stages               | Steps  |
|------------------|----------------------|--|
| onceptualisation | Conceive             | Trigger event<br>Concept capture<br>Clarification of purpose<br>Concept elaboration<br>Concept evaluation                                      |
| Planning         | Design               | Basic design<br>Development of performance<br>criteria<br>Design development<br>Design evaluation  |
|                  | Plan                 | Base plan<br>Development of targets and<br>milestones<br>Plan development<br>Plan evaluation   |
|                  | Allocate             | Base design and plan detail<br>Development of allocation<br>criteria<br>Allocation development<br>Allocation evaluation                        |
| Execution        | Execute              | Coordinate and control<br>Monitor progress<br>Modification of targets and<br>milestones<br>Allocation modification<br>Control evaluation       |
| Termination      | Deliver              | Basic deliverable verification<br>Deliverable modification<br>Modification of performance<br>criteria<br>Deliver evaluation                    |
|                  | Review               | Basic review<br>Review development<br>Review evaluation  |
|                  | Support              | Basic maintenance and<br>liability perception<br>Development of support<br>criteria<br>Support perception<br>development<br>Support evaluation |
|                  | [C. Chapman, S. Ward | <ul> <li>Project risk management]</li> </ul>   |